



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/079,040	02/20/2002	Peter L. Ryan	RU-0176	6411
759	90 07/28/2004		EXAM	INER
Licata & Tyrrell P.C. DAVIS, DEBORAL 66 E. Main Street			BORAH A	
Marlton, NJ 0			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 3 °CFR 1.35(a), in no event, however, may a narry be timely filled between the communication of 3 °CFR 1.35(a), in no event, however, may a narry be timely filled by the period for reply appeared used by the period for reply appeared used by the period for reply appeared to reply appeared			Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Deborah A Davis The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The benind for reply specified above is less than they (50) days, a reply within the statutory information of they (50) days will be considered timely.	Office Action Summary		10/079,040	RYAN ET AL.	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Belancious of time may be arribled used the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(q). In no event, however, may a riphy be firmly filed after SIX (s) MCNTHS from he maling date of the communication. Eleancious of time may be arribled used the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(q). In no event, however, may a riphy be filmly filed after SIX (s) MCNTHS from he maling date of the communication. If NO period from they is specified above, the manifum dature proficed will apply within the statution of making date of the communication. If NO period from they is specified above, the manifum dates of the communication to become ABANDONED (SS 13.S.C § 13.3). Provided they within the set or estended period for riphy will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (SS 13.S.C § 13.3). Provided they will be set or estended period for riphy will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (SS 13.S.C § 13.3). Provided they will be set or estended period for riphy will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (SS 13.S.C § 13.3). Provided they will be set or estended period for riphy will, by statute, cause the application to be communication, even if they filed, day recode day of the communication to communication to the communication to the communication. It is action is FINAL. 2 b) This action is non-final. 2 b) This action is non-final. 2 b) This action is FINAL. 2 b) This action is non-final. 3 claim(s) 1 is/are period to filed on £ 2.5-04. 2 b) Claim(s) 1 is/are allowed. 3 claim(s) 1 is/are allowed. 3 claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 3 claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 3 claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 4 claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 5 claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 5 claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 5 claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 6 claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 6 claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7 claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 8 claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 9 claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.			Examiner	Art Unit	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. □ Ederations of them may be arithable under the powellose of 37 °CF* 1.58(s), in no event, however, may a naphy be timely filled □ the period for negly specified above, the maximum databletory partial and selective price of the period of the perio				1	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extracions or time may be waited under the provision of 3°CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a nepty be timely filled after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 3°CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a nepty be timely filled after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Provision of the			pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	/
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6-25-04. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Queyle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority documents have been received in Application No 3) Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	Status				
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6-25	<u>-04</u> .		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) <u></u>	,—			
4)	3)□				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Disposit	ion of Claims			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Applicat	ion Papers			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		,
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	10)[- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	11)[
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a)(All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	2)	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal	Date	

Application/Control Number: 10/079,040

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 25, 2004 has been entered. Claim 2 have been cancelled and claim 1 is pending and under examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/079,040

Art Unit: 1641

Claim 1 is drawn to a method for evaluating treatment efficacy in pregnant mares affected by a disease or condition that alters placental function and results in a problematic pregnancy or delivery. Claim 1 further measures a first level of relaxin in plasma of a pregnant mare that has or is suspected of having a disease or condition that alters placental function, wherein the level is measured before administration of drug or treatment for the disease or condition. Claim 1 further measures levels of relaxin in plasma of the mare following administration of the drug or treatment from the first day of treatment until time of delivery in the mare, wherein a failure of the plasma relaxin levels to increase following drug or treatment administration is indicative of a non-effective treatment in preventing a problematic pregnancy or delivery in the mare.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stewart et al (one) (Breed Differences in circulating Equine Relaxin, Biology of Reproduction, 1992, Vol. 46, pages 648-652) in view of Stewart et al (two) (Relaxin activity in foaling mares, Journal of Reproduction and Fertility. Supplement, (1982) Vol. 32, pages 603-609)

Stewart et al (one) teaches a method for measuring levels of relaxin in plasma of a pregnant mare before and after the administration of a drug-or treatment (see abstract and introduction) wherein a homologous equine relaxin Radio Immunoassay (RIA) has been developed and used to measure plasma relaxin activity in thoroughbred mares during gestation until the time of foaling. Burros and Thoroughbred mares stimulated to deliver with oxytocin (treatment) showed an elevation in relaxin levels wherein the sensitivity to oxytocin (treatment) appears to develop late in gestation, as mares

Application/Control Number: 10/079,040

Art Unit: 1641

induced to abort in midpregnancy did not show a rise in relaxin (page 651, column 2, paragraph 2). Animals that exhibited adverse pregnancy outcomes had depressed relaxin concentrations at some point during gestation prior to the loss (page 651, column 2, paragraph 2).

Stewart et al (1) does not teach the evaluation of a treatment wherein the failure of the plasma relaxin levels to increase following a treatment or drug is indicative of a non-effective treatment in preventing problematic pregnancy or delivery in the mare.

However, Stewart et al (two) teaches the administration of oxytocin in pregnant mares resulted in an increase of plasma relaxin levels at foaling and after foaling, but when oxytocin was administered to mares after placental delivery, the mares failed to elicit an increase in relaxin levels.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Stewart et al (one) to include evaluating oxytocin as a treatment for conditions that alter placental function because increase and decrease in relaxin levels are directly correlated with placental function as disclosed in Stewart et al (two). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use oxytocin on mares with a disease or condition to determine if levels of relaxin can be stabilized in mares with a disease or condition that would alter placental function.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the reference of Stewart et al (one) does not teach or suggest any method of evaluating drugs or treatments for mares with

Art Unit: 1641

problematic pregnancies or deliveries via measurement of increasing plasma relaxin levels and failure to increase is indicative of a non-effective treatment have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. As for reasons aforementioned above, no claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah A Davis whose telephone number is (571) 272-0818. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Deborah A. Davis

Application/Qontrol/Number: 10/079,040

Apt Unit: 1641

Manis

Remsen Blog Room 3D58 July 21, 2004 Page 6

and a supple contract the history and problems in the board